$16 million Middlesex court cost now $40 million, says builder
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The former chief con-
structor for the new Mid-
dlesex County courthouse in Cambridge will charge $ 16 million Middlesex County Courthouse. The Globe learned that Ungar will charge tomorrow that the structure, when completed, will cost $40 million, possibly more if the stop-
page continues.

As reasons for the in-
crease, Ungar will cite,
Ungar, however, will charge that he suspended work because the commis-
Ungar says that his con-
tract was for $24.8 million, but that the commissioners agreed to $33,767,807.

The Globe learned that

Ungar maintains that the
commissioners had broken
written promises to re-
medi about $5.4 million in
claims filed against the
county.

Sources close to Ungar
said last night, he will pre-
"for failure to perform the work required."

Ungar's contract, accord-
ing to the county commis-
sioners, was terminated

The claims, Ungar says,
were made by 23 sub-con-
tractors because of the

various delays in the con-
struction and are said to re-
resent photostatic copies of
these written promises at
the press conference to-
morrow.

not Gevyn — built a
foundation that was not
suite for the building,
thereby causing a one-year
delay in construction.

Gevyn's contract, accord-
ing to the county commis-
sioners, was terminated

for the building to be completed
by mid-1967.

Similarly, he will state
that 150 of the 158 archi-
tectural drawings for
the structure had to be re-
vised.

One of the biggest of
these changes in plans was
the addition of three more
stories to house the East
Cambridge District Court
in the building.

In addition, he will cite a
series of alleged "broken
promises," errors and
blunders that have thwarted
the progress of the con-
struction.

Specifically, Ungar will
maintain that a contractor
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